# Journal of Materials Chemistry A



COMMUNICATION

View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 1652

Received 13th October 2013 Accepted 28th November 2013

DOI: 10.1039/c3ta14112h

www.rsc.org/MaterialsA

Highly enhanced performance of spongy graphene as an oil sorbent<sup>†</sup>

Hengchang Bi,‡<sup>a</sup> Xiao Xie,‡<sup>a</sup> Kuibo Yin,<sup>a</sup> Yilong Zhou,<sup>a</sup> Shu Wan,<sup>a</sup> Rodney S. Ruoff<sup>b</sup> and Litao Sun<sup>\*a</sup>

This work demonstrates a brand-new spongy graphene with a highly enhanced performance as an oil sorbent. The absorption capacity of the new spongy graphene to chloroform reaches 616 times of its own weight, which is approximately 8 times higher than that in previous reports. The absorption capacity towards other organic chemicals is also greatly improved.

### Introduction

Graphene-based three-dimensional carbon macrostructures, including 3-D porous structures, have attracted attention for their physical/chemical properties including ultrahigh surface area.<sup>1-3</sup> Such materials have been studied in solar cells,<sup>4,5</sup> lithium-ion batteries,<sup>6-8</sup> various sensors,<sup>9-12</sup> supercapacitors,<sup>13-16</sup> and in other systems.<sup>17</sup> We recently reported a 3-D carbon macrostructure based on self-assembly of graphene-based nanosheets, namely "spongy graphene", as a selective and efficient absorbent of oils and organic solvents.<sup>18</sup>

Leakage of oil as well as toxic or flammable chemicals is an environmental problem. The materials tested for mitigating oil pollution in marine environments include natural products,<sup>19,20</sup> expanded graphite,<sup>21-24</sup> carbon nanotube structures<sup>25,26</sup> and polymeric sorbents,<sup>27-31</sup> among others. However, these materials suffer from problems such as low capacity, high cost, inconvenient to use, or potential safety issues.

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

3-D graphene and its derivatives are low-cost, recyclable, and safe alternative oil sorbents. In our previous report, a 3-D macrostructure based on a graphene nanomaterial produced by chemical exfoliation and reduction, *i.e.*, SG, has been proposed as an efficient and recyclable oil sorbent.<sup>18</sup> Details on the improved performance of this SG are described in the present paper. The absorption capability is improved by 8 times to over 600 times the weight of SG.

### Results and discussion

Graphene oxide (GO) was obtained following an improved Hummer's protocol.<sup>32,33</sup> GO bulks were then fabricated by the freeze-casting technique. Heat treatment up to 1000 °C in an inert atmosphere enabled the reduction of GO to SG. Finally, SG bulks were placed on the flame of an alcohol burner or wax candle for several seconds to obtain the final form of SG. Fig. 1 shows a schematic of SG fabrication. SGs without flame treatment are abbreviated as SG-R, whereas flame-treated SGs are called SG-F (Experimental section). The SG density was measured to be ~0.9 mg cm<sup>-3</sup> based on Archimedes' theory, which indicated that the percentage of air-containing cavity was >99.9% (the theoretical density of pure, solid graphite bulk was believed to be >2.2 g cm<sup>-3</sup>).

Microscopic structures of SG-R and SG-F were identified by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Fig. 2a and b). Unlike the SG in our previous report, no obvious flaky, cabbage-like structure was observed in SG-R and SG-F. Instead, denser, crosslinked pores 10  $\mu$ m to 50  $\mu$ m in diameter were observed. More combined homogeneous pores with lower density were also observed, which indicated that the graphene nanosheets used to construct SG-F were thinner, thereby directly affecting the shapes of sponges from GO rather than those from graphene by freeze casting. The dispersive ability of GO in aqueous solution was better than that of reduced GO because GO was more hydrophilic, which indicated that the sponge had smaller and denser porous structures. In the subsequent reduction, the GO sponge was chemically reduced to SG-R without changing the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>SEU-FEI Nano-Pico Center, Key Laboratory of MEMS of Ministry of Education, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, P. R. China. E-mail: slt@seu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-25-83792939; Tel: +86-25-83792632-8813

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials and Science Program, The University of Texas at Austin, One University Station C2200, Austin, TX 78712, USA † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: The morphology and wettability of SG-F, the Raman spectrum of SG-R and SG-F, IR spectra of SG-R and SG-F, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy of SG-R and SG-F, the adhesive performance of SG-R and SG-F, the dynamic adsorption process, and comparison of various sorber materials. See DOI: 10.1039/c3ta14112h



**Fig. 1** Fabrication and macroscopic appearance of spongy graphene (SG). (a) Fabrication of SG. The components are intentionally not drawn into scale. (b) Macroscopic image of SG bulk.



**Fig. 2** Microscopic analysis of the structure of SG. (a) SEM images of SG-R. (b) SEM images of SG-F. Carbon nanoparticles (CNPs) could be observed on the surface of graphene nanosheets. (c) TEM image of CNPs observed in (b) and the corresponding high-resolution image. Inset: micro-zone diffraction pattern of CNPs, indicating the part crystallization of the nanostructure.

structural appearance. Therefore, the as-proposed protocol further reduced the density while increasing the cavity percentage, which resulted in greater absorption efficiency.

A serious problem occurred when SG-R was used for oil absorption. Although SG-R had a lower density and a larger aircontaining volume, water permeated into the SG-R body at a

slow rate. Despite the hydrophobicity of the graphene nanosheets, the high cavity content and appropriate pore size of SG-R caused bulk water absorption, which deviated from the initial aim and rendered oil absorption pointless. Various efforts have been exerted to avoid this unwanted phenomenon. Flame treatment has been adopted because it is simple and the effect is sufficiently stable. Incomplete combustion candle or alcohol produces amorphous carbon nanoparticles (CNPs) on top of the flame. The soot is also composed of various types of CNPs.34,35 The flame soot treatment can be used as an effective strategy for surface modification because CNPs strongly adhere (Fig. S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>) onto the interior region of a bulk material and modify SG-R into SG-F, which completely repels water. Fig. 2b demonstrates the adhesion of CNPs onto graphene nanosheets. Compared with SG-R (Fig. 2a), the graphene sheets in SG-F (Fig. 2b) had a rougher surface with homogeneously adhered CNPs from the combustion soot of a candle, even at the center of the cross-section. The detailed structure of these CNPs was characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). As shown in Fig. 2c, the partly crystallized CNPs were composed mainly of 30 nm to 50 nm diameter nanospheres. As mentioned above, although SG-R was hydrophobic, its surface still slowly absorbed water (Fig. 3a), whereas the CNP structure made the external surface of SG-F water resistant (Fig. 3b). In addition, both SG-R and SG-F had similar backbone structures composed of graphene nanosheets (Fig. S2-S4, ESI†), which indicated that soot treatment did not change the overall microstructure of SG. We also found that the surface of SG-F was self-cleaning, wherein water droplets completely slipped when the surface was inclined at several degrees (Fig. S5, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The mechanism was similar to that of lotus leaves, as discussed in detail elsewhere. By contrast, a water drop stuck onto the surface of SG-R even when tilted to 60° (Fig. S6, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Therefore, the self-cleaning ability of a sponge when tilted was an indicator of effective soot treatment and quality of the water-resistant SG sorbent. The absorption of SG-F toward various types of alkanes, fats, and organic solvents was examined, and Fig. 3c and d show the absorption of crude oil (dark brown) (Movie S1, ESI†) and phenixin (underwater, stained with Sudan red 5B) by SG-F (Movie S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>), respectively.

SG-F still functions even at the bottom of a container when it is used to absorb a substance with density higher than water. We found that the sponge can repel water by forming a protective air shell on its outer surface caused by its superhydrophobicity while maintaining its oil absorbing capacity (Fig. 3d). Submerged SG-F absorbed phenixin by releasing the air bubbles from its surface until it was fully saturated (Movie S2, ESI†). This commendable property indicated the possibility for environmental applications in absorbing floating pollutants and those underwater.

Fig. 4 shows the absorption capacities of SG-F on various organic liquids (black bars), including alkanes, fats, and organic solvents. The absorption capacity was evaluated by weight gain, *i.e.*, the ratio of the mass of the sorbates to the mass of the dry SG. Weight gain dramatically improved compared with our last report (shown in red bars).<sup>18</sup> The absorption capability of SG was generally >100× its own weight.



**Fig. 3** Water and oil absorption of the graphene sorbent. A series of digital photos showing the water/organic chemicals absorption by the graphene sorbent. (a) A water drop was completely absorbed by SG-R (without soot treatment) after 75 seconds; (b) SG-F, the sorbent treated with candle soot, shows excellent water resistance, indicating that the carbon nanoparticles generated by the soot play a critical role in deciding the performance of the spongy graphene; (c) the SG-F absorbs crude oil (dark brown, thick liquid) floating on the water; (d) the SG-F absorbs phenixin (dyed with red color) from the bottom of the water.



**Fig. 4** The capacity of the graphene sorbent towards various organic chemicals. Absorption capacity of SG-F, the soot-treated graphene sorbent, towards various organic chemicals. Black bars: performance of SG-F studied in this report. Red bars: corresponding performance of SG prepared elsewhere.<sup>18</sup> A maximum of 616 times of the own weight of graphene sorbent can be absorbed when using chloroform as the target substance, which is ~8 times higher than the previous report.

For instance, the absorption toward chloroform was close to  $616\times$ , whereas only  $\sim 86\times$  has been previously found. To the best of our knowledge, this absorption capacity was one of the

Table	1	Improvements	of	the	new	SG-F	compared	to	previously
report	ed	SG							

	SG-F	SG (old) <sup>18</sup>
Fabrication process	GO-freeze casting-high- temperature reduction	GO-liquid-phase reduction-freeze casting
Density	$<1 \text{ mg cm}^{-3}$	$5-12 \text{ mg cm}^{-3}$
Morphology	Porous, dual microstructure with CNP adhesion	Cabbage-like structure
Hydrophobicity ( <i>e.g.</i> contact angle)	155°	$115^{\circ}$
Absorption performance ( <i>e.g.</i> weight gain towards chloroform)	Max. 616.4	Max. 86.1

highest among all absorbents reported to date for oils and organic solvents (Table S1, ESI†). For example, in pump oil and chloroform, the absorption capacity corresponding to 30% to 40% of the pore volume was filled with the absorbates. The inner bone structure of graphene nanosheets also had sufficient mechanical strength that can bear a load  $100 \times$  its own weight, which can be attributed to the superior mechanical properties of the cross-linked graphene material.

## Conclusions

Table 1 compares the SG-F fabricated in the present and previous studies.<sup>18</sup> In a typical procedure, the fabrication process was improved to fabricate SG with lower density and porosity. A new surface treatment, flame soot treatment, was used to regulate the hydrophobicity of the outer surface of SG. The graphene nanosheets had CNPs that formed hierarchical carbon structures. This unique structure exerted a lotus leaf-like effect on the surface of SG, *i.e.*, superhydrophobicity and selfcleaning ability. Given the lower density of the current SG, the absorption capability significantly increased to 8 to 10 times of a previously reported SG. Results not only indicated the fabrication of highly absorptive nanomaterials but also helped improve the fabrication of other mesoporous structures. Apart from the application of the new SG material in oil absorption in environmental protection or chemical industries, this material also has potential value in energy storage and chemical sensors because of its light weight and highly porous structures.

# Experimental

### Preparation of GO

GO was prepared by oxidizing expandable graphite powders through a modified Hummer's method.<sup>18,32</sup> Graphite flakes (2 g, purity, >99.7%; Qingdao Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd., China) and sodium nitrate (1 g) were mixed with sulfuric acid (46 mL, 98 wt %) in an ice bath. Potassium permanganate (6 g) was very slowly added to the mixture to maintain the temperature below 20 °C. The reaction was then kept at  $35 \pm 1$  °C for 8 h, and during this

#### Communication

time, gas was released. Deionized water (92 mL) was gradually added to the mixture, which produced violent effervescence. The temperature of the water bath was increased to 98 °C and maintained for 15 min to increase the degree of oxidation of the produced GO. The bright-yellow suspension was diluted with deionized water (280 mL) and further treated with  $H_2O_2$  (30%, 6 mL). Finally, the mixture was separated by centrifugation and washed seven times with 5% hydrochloric acid solution until no sulfate ions can be detected with BaCl<sub>2</sub>. The product was then washed seven times with distilled water to remove chloride ions and dried overnight in an oven at 60 °C. The characterization of GO has been described elsewhere.<sup>18</sup>

#### Fabrication of SG-R and SG-F

GO dispersions in water (3 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> GO concentration) were placed in a sealed container that can hold vapor pressure. The container was first frozen using liquid nitrogen for 5 min and then transferred to a freeze dryer (LGJ-10D, Beijing Sihuan Scientific Ltd., China) for drying. The dried, brownish GO gel was placed in an air oven and heated to 1000 °C for 20 min under a N<sub>2</sub> protective atmosphere. Shiny black SG-R was formed after cooling to room temperature. The SG-R bulk was placed on the flame of a wax candle and carefully rotated for 3 s. Direct contact between the material and flame envelope was ensured. The surface of SG-F was prepared for SEM (FEI Quanta 200) and TEM (FEI Tecnai G20) imaging (Fig. 2).

#### Oil absorption test

A drop of absorbate ( $\sim 20 \mu L$ , including water) was added dropwise onto the horizontal surface of SG to determine the absorption of SG. In the case of repulsion, the surface of SG was tilted to investigate the self-cleaning ability of the surface. After determining the absorption behavior, dry SG bulk was weighed and then the absorbate was added dropwise onto the sponge until the SG bulk was saturated, as indicated by the slight moisture on the entire SG surface. SG was weighed again upon saturation. The absorption capability (weight gain) was then calculated according to the wet-to-dry weight ratio. Liquid absorption on water was performed in a glass beaker. The absorbate was stained with Sudan red 5B (final concentration = 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and poured into a container with water. SG bulk was thrown and stirred gently at the air-liquid interface (in most cases) or submerged (e.g., phenixin) depending on the absorbate density. The experiments were documented (images and videos) using a commercial digital camera.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (Grant no. 2011CB707601 and no. 2009CB623702), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 61274114, 51071044, 11204034 and 61106055), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (no. BK2012024 and BK2012123), and Scientific Research Foundation of Graduate School of Southeast University (no. YBJJ1208).

# Notes and references

- 1 Y. X. Xu, K. X. Sheng, C. Li and G. Q. Shi, *ACS Nano*, 2010, 4, 4324.
- 2 Z. P. Chen, W. C. Ren, L. B. Gao, B. L. Liu, S. F. Pei and H. M. Cheng, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 424.
- 3 C. Li and G. Q. Shi, Nanoscale, 2012, 4, 5549.
- 4 H. Bi, F. Q. Huang, J. Liang, Y. F. Tang, X. J. Lu, X. M. Xie and M. H. Jiang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 17366.
- 5 J. S. Lee, H. J. Ahn, J. C. Yoon and J. H. Jang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 7938.
- 6 K. T. Lee, J. C. Lytle, N. S. Ergang, S. M. Oh and A. Stein, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 547.
- 7 J. Xiao, D. H. Mei, X. L. Li, W. Xu, D. Wang, G. L. Graff, W. D. Bennett, Z. Nie, L. V. Saraf, I. A. Aksay, J. Liu and J. G. Zhang, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 5071.
- 8 X. H. Cao, Y. M. Shi, W. H. Shi, X. H. Rui, Q. Y. Yan, J. Kong and H. Zhang, *Small*, 2013, **9**, 3433.
- 9 F. Yavari, Z. P. Chen, A. V. Thomas, W. C. Ren, H. M. Cheng and N. Koratkar, *Sci. Rep.*, 2011, **1**, 166.
- 10 X. C. Dong, X. W. Wang, L. H. Wang, H. Song, H. Zhang, W. Huang and P. Chen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2012, 4, 3129.
- 11 X. H. Cao, Z. Y. Zeng, W. H. Shi, P. R. Yep, Q. Y. Yan and H. Zhang, *Small*, 2013, **9**, 1703.
- 12 H. C. Bi, K. B. Yin, X. Xie, J. Ji, S. Wan, L. T. Sun, M. Terrones and M. S. Dresselhaus, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, 3, 2714, DOI: 10.1038/ srep02714.
- 13 Y. Zhao, J. Liu, Y. Hu, H. H. Cheng, C. G. Hu, C. C. Jiang, L. Jiang, A. Y. Cao and L. T. Qu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 591.
- 14 S. Nardecchia, D. Carriazo, M. L. Ferrer, M. C. Gutierrez and F. del Monte, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 794.
- 15 X. H. Cao, Y. M. Shi, W. H. Shi, G. Lu, X. Huang, Q. Y. Yan, Q. C. Zhang and H. Zhang, *Small*, 2011, 7, 3163.
- 16 W. J. Zhou, X. H. Cao, Z. Y. Zeng, W. H. Shi, Y. Y. Zhu, Q. Y. Yan, H. Liu, J. Y. Wang and H. Zhang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, 6, 2216.
- 17 H. C. Bi, K. B. Yin, X. Xie, Y. L. Zhou, S. Wan, F. Banhart and L. T. Sun, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 9123.
- 18 H. Bi, X. Xie, K. Yin, Y. Zhou, S. Wan, L. He, F. Xu, F. Banhart, L. Sun and R. S. Ruoff, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, 22, 4421.
- 19 H. M. Choi and R. M. Cloud, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1992, 26, 772.
- 20 D. Bastani, A. A. Safekordi, A. Alihosseini and V. Taghikhani, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2006, 52, 295.
- 21 X. P. Yan, Y. Y. Zhou, S. W. Wang, K. N. Kim and J. H. Li, *Talanta*, 2006, **69**, 970.
- 22 M. F. Zhao and P. Liu, Desalination, 2009, 249, 331.
- 23 S. D. Li, S. H. Tian, Y. F. Feng, J. J. Lei, P. P. Wang and Y. Xiong, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **183**, 506.
- 24 S. D. Li, S. H. Tian, C. M. Du, C. He, C. P. Cen and Y. Xiong, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2010, **162**, 546.
- 25 Z. J. Fan, J. Yan, G. Q. Ning, T. Wei, W. Z. Qian, S. J. Zhang,
  C. Zheng, Q. Zhang and F. Wei, *Carbon*, 2010, 48, 4197.
- 26 X. Gui, H. Li, K. Wang, J. Wei, Y. Jia, Z. Li, L. Fan, A. Cao, H. Zhu and D. Wu, *Acta Mater.*, 2011, **59**, 4798.

- 27 A. M. Atta, R. A. M. El-Ghazawy, R. K. Farag and A.-A. A. Abdel-Azim, *React. Funct. Polym.*, 2006, **66**, 931.
- 28 A. M. Atta, S. H. El-Hamouly, A. M. AlSabagh and M. M. Gabr, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2007, **105**, 2113.
- 29 R. K. Farag and S. M. El-Saeed, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2008, **109**, 3704.
- 30 D. P. Hashim, N. T. Narayanan, J. M. Romo-Herrera, D. A. Cullen, M. G. Hahm, P. Lezzi, J. R. Suttle, D. Kelkhoff, E. Muñoz-Sandoval, S. Ganguli, A. K. Roy, D. J. Smith, R. Vajtai, B. G. Sumpter, V. Meunier, H. Terrones, M. Terrones and P. M. Ajayan, *Sci. Rep.*, 2012, 2, 263.
- 31 Q. Zhu, Q. Pan and F. Liu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 17464.
- 32 W. S. Hummers and R. E. Offeman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 1339.
- 33 D. C. Marcano, D. V. Kosynkin, J. M. Berlin, A. Sinitskii, Z. Z. Sun, A. Slesarev, L. B. Alemany, W. Lu and J. M. Tour, *ACS Nano*, 2010, 4, 4806.
- 34 Z. X. Su, W. Z. Zhou and Y. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 4700.
- 35 X. Deng, L. Mammen, H. J. Butt and D. Vollmer, *Science*, 2012, 335, 67.